

Petition - Hutt Street Revitalisation Project

Tuesday, 9 December 2025
Council

Strategic Alignment - Our Corporation

Program Contact:
Rebecca Hayes, Associate
Director Governance & Strategy

Public

Approving Officer:
Michael Sedgman, Chief
Executive Officer

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents a petition for Council to receive. The petition asks Council to:

'Prioritise the **upgrade of the public realm and amenities** to create a safe, vibrant, and inclusive community environment.

Specifically, we request:

- Creation of public spaces for the community to socialise
- Upgrade of the public realm
- Better street lighting for pedestrian safety
- Ambient lighting to add vibrancy and evening activity
- Public art to reflect culture and identity
- Increased greenery and landscaping for shade and beauty
- Improvement to the existing public hub and *village-style atmosphere* to support community living

We the undersigned have indicated whether we prefer option 1 or option 2 below.

Option 1: Upgrade and enhance the **existing bike lanes** on Hutt Street to improve safety and amenity for cyclists, while also maximising the availability of existing car parking.

Option 2: Reduce full-time dedicated car parking from 132 spaces to 42 (with 30 additional time-restricted spaces) and construct a **separated bike path** adjacent to the existing footpath.'

RECOMMENDATION

THAT COUNCIL

1. Receives the petition containing 1814 valid signatories, distributed as a separate document to Item 10.1 on the Agenda for the meeting of the Council held on 9 December 2025.

IMPLICATIONS AND FINANCIALS

City of Adelaide 2024-2028 Strategic Plan	Strategic Alignment – Our Corporation Presentation of petitions align with the Strategic Plan Key Action to listen and respond to our community, embedding their perspective to support decision-making
Policy	Not as a result of this report
Consultation	Not as a result of this report
Resource	Not as a result of this report
Risk / Legal / Legislative	Petition presented for receipt in accordance with the <i>Local Government (Procedures at Meetings Regulations 2013</i> (SA) and the Council's Code of Practice for Meeting Procedures.
Opportunities	Not as a result of this report
25/26 Budget Allocation	Not as a result of this report
Proposed 26/27 Budget Allocation	Not as a result of this report
Life of Project, Service, Initiative or (Expectancy of) Asset	Not as a result of this report
25/26 Budget Reconsideration (if applicable)	Not as a result of this report
Ongoing Costs (eg maintenance cost)	Not as a result of this report
Other Funding Sources	Not as a result of this report

DISCUSSION

1. A petition containing 1830 petitioners was received seeking the following action:

'Prioritise the **upgrade of the public realm and amenities** to create a safe, vibrant, and inclusive community environment.

Specifically, we request:

- Creation of public spaces for the community to socialise
- Upgrade of the public realm
- Better street lighting for pedestrian safety
- Ambient lighting to add vibrancy and evening activity
- Public art to reflect culture and identity
- Increased greenery and landscaping for shade and beauty
- Improvement to the existing public hub and *village-style atmosphere* to support community living

We the undersigned have indicated whether we prefer option 1 or option 2 below.

Option 1: Upgrade and enhance the **existing bike lanes** on Hutt Street to improve safety and amenity for cyclists, while also maximising the availability of existing car parking.

Option 2: Reduce full-time dedicated car parking from 132 spaces to 42 (with 30 additional time-restricted spaces) and construct a **separated bike path** adjacent to the existing footpath.'

2. The Chief Executive Officer must ensure the petition is placed on the agenda for the next ordinary meeting of Council. The original petition will be distributed to all Council Members separately.
3. Members of the public may seek a copy of the original petition upon written request to the Chief Executive Officer.
4. The petition has been considered pursuant to regulation 10 of the *Local Government (Procedures at Meetings) Regulations 2013 (SA)* (the Regulations) and in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Practice for Meeting Procedures, the petition meets the requirements.
5. Petitioners represented the following geographical locations:
 - 5.1. Adelaide 5000: 810 signatories
 - 5.2. North Adelaide 5006: 32 signatories
 - 5.3. Other South Australian suburbs: 965 signatories
 - 5.4. Interstate suburbs: 7 signatories
 - 5.5. No address supplied: 16 signatories
6. Options selected by petitioners are as follows:
 - 6.1. Option 1: 1781 signatories
 - 6.2. Option 2: 9 signatories
 - 6.3. No option selected: 34 signatories
 - 6.4. Both options selected: 6 signatories
7. The petition contained 1830 petitioners. 16 signatories are invalid, due to an address not being supplied.
8. The petition is presented for Council to receive, with 1814 valid signatories.

ATTACHMENTS

Petition distributed separately to Lord Mayor and Councillors

- END OF REPORT -